

Regioselective 5-O-Opening of Conformationally Locked 3,5-O-Ditert-butylsilylene-D-galactofuranosides. Synthesis of $(1\rightarrow 5)$ - β -D-Galactofuranosyl Derivatives

Mariano J. Tilve, Carmen R. Cori, and Carola Gallo-Rodriguez*

CIHIDECAR, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Departamento de Química Orgánica, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón II, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The use of thiogalactofuranoside as donors for the construction of internal Galf containing oligosaccharide is limited, probably due to the difficulty to functionalize thiogalactofuranoside derivatives showing O-2, O-3, and O-5 with similar reactivity. An efficient method for complete regioselective 5-O-opening of conformationally restricted 3,5-O-di-tertbutylsilylene-D-galactofuranoside derivatives was developed. The use of a solution nBu₄NF (1.1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ on 6 gave the 5-OH free derivative 10 as the only product (90%). 3-O-Di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl derivative 10 was stable upon purification and glycosylation reaction. Preactivation of conformationally restricted thioglycoside 6 employing p-NO₂-benzensulfenyl chloride/AgOTf followed by condensation over the 5-OH thioglycoside acceptor 10 gave the corresponding disaccharide 12 without autocondensation byproduct. Regioselective 5-O-deprotection was also successfully

performed over the $(1\rightarrow 5)$ - β -D-galactofuranosyl di- and trisaccharide derivatives 12 and 13. This methodology allowed the differentiation between the secondary hydroxyl groups OH-3 and OH-5 of 1,2-cis or 1,2-trans D-galactofuranoside derivatives, and it still constitutes an innovative approach to access oligosaccharides of pharmacological importance.

INTRODUCTION

Glycans play an essential role in biology, and the knowledge of the biological processes has driven chemical glycobiology in such a perspective^{2,3} that glycotherapy has reemerge in medicine.⁴ For these reasons, synthetic oligosaccharides constitute powerful tools for this purpose.^{2,5} For instance, galactose in the furanose form is present in several pathogenic microorganisms, whereas it is not biosynthesized in mammals. 6-8 For that reason, Galf metabolism is a promising target for chemotherapy. 9,10 In this context, the synthesis of Galfcontaining oligosaccharides has gained much attention as components of tools for studying their functions¹¹ or the biosynthetic steps 12,13 or for the development of specific antigens. 14 The synthesis of oligosaccharides containing internal Galf requires a first choice of the galactofuranosyl template, which has to be functionalized or protected, 8,15 and this selection is deeply related to the method of glycosylation used. Several glycosylation methods have been essayed for this purpose;^{7,8} the trichloroacetimidate method is the most widely employed according to the literature. 16-20 In contrast to pyranoses, the thioglycoside method has not been widely exploited as well^{7,8} in spite of the success in oligosaccharide synthesis provided by the stability of the thio function toward a wide range of reaction conditions, which allows the manipulation of the protecting group prior to activation. The introduction of terminal Galf has been described by this method, ^{7,8,12,21,22} however, examples of the synthesis of internal

Galf-oligosaccharides are more limited. Interesting examples are the synthesis of mycolyl-arabinogalactan oligosaccharide fragments, 12 a tetragalactofuranoside for the detection of Aspergillus fumigatus¹⁴ and tetra and hexasaccharide fragments of the LPS of Klebsiella pneumoniae.²³ More recently, some thiogalactofuranosides were used for the development of regioselective furanosylation methodology.²⁴ The influence of the protection pattern was evaluated on several phenyl thioglycosides for reactivity tuning.²⁵ Very recently, thiogalactofuranosides precursors were employed for the challenging synthesis of natural products containing 1,2-cis Galf. The limited number of examples on the use of thiogalactofuranosyl donors could be partially attributed to the difficulty of selectively protecting the 1-thio galactofuranosyl glycoside that is usually required as the starting material. In fact, benzoylation of tolyl 1thio-galactofuranoside with 2.2 equiv of benzoyl chloride at −15 °C gave a complex mixture of products which included 6-O-, 5,6-di-O-, 2,6-di-O- and 3,6-di-O-benzoyl rather than the expected 2,6-di-O-benzoyl derivative as the main product.²² Moreover, selective benzovlation of p-tolyl 5,6-O-isopropylidene-1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside by treatment with benzoyl chloride (1 equiv) gave the 2-O and 3-O derivatives in 45% and 26% yield, respectively. Even protection with the bulky silylating agent tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride gave the 2-O-

Received: June 29, 2016 Published: September 27, 2016 The Journal of Organic Chemistry

silyl derivative in 60% yield together with 3-O-derivative in 12% yield.²³ In summary, protection of the primary OH-6 can be easily achieved, however, OH-2, OH-3, and OH-5 have similar reactivities toward acylation reactions. Particularly, access to exocyclic 5-OH thiogalactofuranosyl derivatives requires several reaction steps involving major manipulation of protecting groups. 12,14,25-27 Therefore, new strategies are needed for the development of acceptors that react regioselectively. In our recent studies on stereoselective 1,2-cis galactofuranosylation^{28,29} following a similar approach applied for 1,2-cis β arabinofuranosylation, ^{30–35} we have employed the 3,5-O-di-*tert*butylsilyl group to impart rigidity to the galactofuranose ring in order to guide the incoming nucleophile acceptor into the inside face of the oxacarbenium ion. This protecting group has not been extensively studied in galactofuranosyl derivatives. In fact, some unusual chemical behaviors were observed after the introduction of the 3,5-O-di-tert-butylsilylene (3,5-O-DTBS) protecting group into the galactofuranosyl moiety. For example, almost complete selective benzylation at the secondary OH-2 position over the primary OH-6 was observed on conformationally constrained benzyl α -D-galactofuranoside derivative $\mathbf{1}^{29}$ and β -thiogalactofuranoside derivative 2^{28} (Figure 1). An

Figure 1. Conformationally locked galactofuranoside derivatives and their unusual chemical behavior.

unusual over reduction alditol byproduct 5 was observed on hydrogenolysis of 3 in ethyl acetate, whereas the anomeric free derivative 4 was obtained in methanol (Figure 1). Moreover, anomeric free derivative 4 presents an important amount of the aldehyde open form (Figure 1). We envisioned conformationally constrained thioglycoside 6^{28} as an interesting precursor for internal galactofuranoside-containing oligosaccharide synthesis. Very recently, the thiophenyl analogue of 6, synthesized through a secondary product from phenyl 1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside, was employed for reactivity tuning studies as a precursor of terminal Galf. S

With the aim at differentiating the secondary positions OH-3 and OH-5 of the galactofuranosyl moiety, and taking into account that the use of this protecting group has not been fully exploited in galactofuranose derivatives, our attention was driven to investigate the selective opening of the silylene group present in compound 6. The DTBS group was formerly

introduced as a 1,3-diols protecting group, ³⁶ but nowadays its use has been extended for directing the stereochemistry of glycosylation reactions. In addition to the 1,2-cis β -arabinofuranosylation and 1,2-cis α -galactofuranosylation, ²⁸,²⁹ this protecting group was successfully employed in pyranosylation. For example, 4,6-O-DTBS derivatives of galactopyranose and N-acetyl galactosamine donors gave 1,2-cis glycosidic linkage despite the presence of a participating group in O-2. Very recently, the conformationally restricted donor phenyl 2,3-di-O-benzyl-4,6-O-(di-tert-butylsilylene)-1-thio- α -D-mannopyranoside proved to be β -selective with a variety of activation conditions. ³⁷ Also, phenyl 2-O-benzoyl-3,5-O-(di-tert-butylsilylene)- α -D-arabinofuranoside showed to be a superdisarmed donor in competitive arabinofuranosylation studies. ³⁸

Selective deprotection of silyl groups of the same nature could be performed taking into account steric factors; the less crowded primary position is deprotected first.³⁹ This fact was pivotal for the development of the new methodology regioselective silyl exchange technology (ReSET) recently described as an important tool for carbohydrate modification.⁴⁰ Some examples of removal of DTBS ethers in the presence of several silyl groups have been described, 39,41 but only few of them are effective on selective opening of DTBS.³⁹ For instance, a one-pot protocol for the introduction of DTBS on 1,2-diol followed by treatment of alkyl lithium was applied for selective deprotection of a primary position. 42 Treatment of BF₃.S(CH₃)₂ as Lewis acid was also applied to selective opening of the primary position by a fluoride ion transfer from the borane to the silicon atom. This methodology did not work for the differentiation of two secondary positions. 43 A formation of 3,5-O-DTBS on 2'-deoxinucleoside and further selective removal of the silyl of the primary position was also informed under basic treatment. 44 More recently, selective monodeprotection of 1,3-O-DTBS of primary position of some carbohydrate derivatives was described by the use of NH₄F in methanol. 45 Very recently, in the course of our investigations, a regioselective ring opening of a related silylene group, a diisopropylsilylene derived 1,3-diol, by treatment with alkyl lithium reagents was developed.⁴⁶

Considering the modest information about this protecting group and in order to get new insight into the galactofuranose field, we report here the regioselective opening of the 3,5-O-DTBS group in thiogalactofuranosyl glycoside 6, by discrimination between the secondary OH-3 and OH-5 (Figure 1). The resulting product was used as an acceptor in the glycosylation reaction (thioglycoside method) in the synthesis of a $\beta(1-5)$ galactofuranosyl derivative. We have further explored the selective opening of other 3,5-O-DTBS-D-galactofuranosyl units present in di- and trisaccharides. Selective deprotection of any of these secondary positions would be a valuable tool for the development of galactofuranosyl acceptors or donors.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of thioglycoside **6** (Figure 1) involves five reaction steps²⁸ (28%) from benzyl α -D-galactofuranoside, which is obtained in one step from galactose by anomeric alkylation (64%).^{16,47} For the first experiments, the 3,5-O-DTBS containing α -benzyl glycoside analog **3** (Figure 1),²⁸ precursor of the synthesis of **6**, was used as a simple model. We have first evaluated the resistance of the silylene group to a Lewis acid. Compound **3**, after 8 h of treatment with TMSOTf (1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature, was recovered

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Table 1. Opening of 3,5-O-DTBS-D-Galf Derivative 3 with nBu₄NF

entry	reactant	solvent	temp. (°C)	reaction time (h)	$7/8^a$ (yield) ^b
1	TMSOTf (1 equiv)	CH_2Cl_2	0	16	no reaction
2	Bu ₄ NF (1.2 equiv)	THF	0	1.5	5:1 (79%) ^b
3	Bu ₄ NF (1.2 equiv)	THF	-78	20	no reaction
4	Bu ₄ NF (1.2 equiv)	CH_2Cl_2	-78	20	no reaction
5	Bu ₄ NF (1.2 equiv)	CH_2Cl_2	-20	18	only 7 (84%) ^b
47/0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

^a7/8 ratio established from ¹H NMR (500 MHz) spectrum. ^bIsolated yield.

unaltered (Table 1, entry 1). Then, a selective opening was essayed using the standard conditions to remove silyl ethers but using stoichiometric amounts of fluoride ion. Thus, 3 was treated with 1.2 equiv of nBu₄NF in THF at 0 °C, and after 1.5 h, a TLC indicated no starting material left and the formation of a more polar single spot. The mixture was extracted with water and purified by column chromatography. However, a mixture of products was obtained as indicated by the ¹H NMR spectrum showing two anomeric protons in 1,2-cis disposition at δ 5.47 (J = 4.4 Hz) and δ 5.42 (J = 4.6 Hz) in 5:1 ratio, suggesting the presence of a mixture of 5-OH and 3-OH derivatives (entry 2, see SI for the ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture). In an attempt to rationalize the regiochemistry of the fluoride deprotection, acylation of this mixture with acetic anhydride and pyridine was carried out. In this case, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed two signals that appeared at downfield: a triplet centered at δ 6.00 and a multiplet at δ 5.67 in 1:5 ratio, respectively, suggesting that acetylation occurred at OH-3 of the minor isomer and at OH-5 of the major isomer. This preliminary result indicated that the fluoride deprotection was regioselective on the secondary OH-5. Motivated to get a complete regioselectivity, the reaction was conducted at lower temperatures; however, at -78 °C the starting material 3 remained unaltered (entry 3). Then it was decided to change the solvent system commonly used in desilylation reactions tetrahydrofuran to CH₂Cl₂. If the reaction was carried out at -78 °C, no reaction was observed (entry 4). When the temperature was raised to −20 °C and the reaction was left overnight, complete disappearance of starting material was noticed. Interestingly, after reaction workup and chromatographic purification, benzyl 2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl- α -D-galactofuranoside (7) was obtained as the only regioisomer in 84% yield (entry 5). The ¹H NMR spectrum showed the presence of the corresponding, the di-tert-butylsilyl group, which appeared as a singlet at δ 0.99 ppm. The anomeric signal appeared as a doublet centered at δ 5.47 (J = 4.4 Hz), which was coincident with the signal observed for the major product obtained by deprotection using THF as a solvent. The coupling constant value was in agreement with a flexible 1,2-cis α -galactofuranosyl derivative. ^{16,19,48,49} No significant changes in the chemical shifts of H-2 and H-6a,b were observed, indicating that no migration of the benzoyl groups took place, which was possible because of the basicity of the reagent. The furanose ring had lost the conformational restriction as indicated by $I_{2,3}$ and $J_{3,4}$ with lower values compared to those in the precursor 3

($J_{2,3}$ and $J_{3,4} \sim 9.5$ Hz). Moreover, in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, the C-4 signal appeared at 82.7 ppm, typical of a flexible Galf ring, whereas in the conformationally constrained derivative 3 (or related 3,5-O-DTBS-galactofuranosyl derivatives), the C-4 signal was observed upfield at 75 ppm. 28,29 The H-5 signal, which appeared superimposed to H-4 (δ 4.22–4.20), correlated (COSY) with the OH-5 at 2.93 ppm. The broad singlet at 2.76 ppm was assigned to the OH linked to the silane atom of the di-tert-butylhydroxylsilyl group at 3-O-position. HMRS data confirmed the proposed structure. It is worth pointing out that some divergences around the silicon atom in fluorine deprotections are described in the literature. Previous work in selective deprotection of DTBS group with BF3·SMe3 included the transfer of the fluorine atom to the silicon atom from the less crowded face of the molecule, while the fluorine atom remained bonded to the silicon atom.⁴³ More recently, on deprotection by treatment with NH₄F, the fluorine atom also remained bonded to the silane. 45 However, a short communication on deprotection of a 3,5-O-DTBS derivative of ribose with *n*Bu₄NF informed the loss of the fluorine atom.⁵ Our results are in agreement with the proposed structure. We assume that the fluorine atom attacks the silicon atom of the 3,5-O-di-tert-butylsilylene group from the less hindered face in a S_N2 manner. The resulting 3-O-di-tert-butylsilylfluoride moiety is hydrolyzed into a 3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxylsilyl group within the reaction mixture as a consequence of the presence of small amount of water in the reagent or in the water extraction. The R_f of 7 after purification was coincident while monitoring the reaction.

In order to confirm the regiochemistry of the silane opening reaction, compound 7 was acetylated under standard conditions (acetic anhydride in pyridine) to afford 9 in 93% yield. In the $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 9, the H-5 (δ 5.67) appeared shifted downfield (1.5 ppm) compared to the same signal in its precursor, confirming the regiochemistry of the deprotection on the exocyclic 5-O of the silylene group. Integration of singlet at δ 1.91 indicated that only one acetyl group was introduced into the molecule. Moreover, the hydrogen atom of the silanol protecting group (SiOH) at O-3 appeared at δ 3.80 ppm as a broad singlet. Full assignment of the signals of $^1\mathrm{H}$ and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra was performed on the basis of the HSQC and COSY spectra. The HRMS confirmed the proposed structure for 9

Having at hand a method for a complete regioselective opening of the 3,5-O-DTBS group in the simple model 3, we

evaluated the scope of this reaction on the conformationally locked thioglycoside 6. On reaction of 6 in CH_2Cl_2 with 1.2 equiv of nBu_4NF dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and after 40 h at -20 °C, followed by extraction with water and column chromatography, 10 with OH-5 was obtained in 90% yield as a single regioisomer (Scheme 1). In the 1H NMR spectrum of 10, the hydrogen

Scheme 1

atoms of the *t*-butyl groups appeared as two singlets at 1.08 and 1.04 ppm. Whereas the H-1 in the precursor **6** appeared as a doublet (J = 4.7 Hz) because the conformational restriction, the H-1 in **10** appeared as a broad singlet (δ 5.65 ppm) typical of a flexible 1,2-*trans* β -thiogalactofuranoside, ⁵¹ showing the lost of the conformational restriction. Moreover, H-2 appeared as a triplet with a small coupling constant (J = 1.4 Hz) in comparison to the corresponding signal in the precursor **6** ($J_{2,3} = 6.4 \text{ Hz}$), showing the conformational change due to the opening of the silylene group. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, the deshielded resonance of C-4 (δ 84.9) also confirmed the presence of a flexible β -thiogalactofuranoside. The signal of H-5 appeared as a multiplet centered at δ 4.30, which correlated (COSY) with the OH-5 at δ 2.54, confirming the regioselective opening. Once again, a broad singlet at δ 3.66 was assigned to

OH linked to the silicon atom at O-3, and it was in agreement with the HRMS data.

Acetylation by standard conditions of 10 gave 11 in 93% vield. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 11 did not show any substantial change in proton displacement with the exception of the signal corresponding to H-5, which appeared as the most deprotected signal of the sugar backbone at 5.70 ppm, confirming the acetylation of this position, and, consequently, the regioselective opening of the silvlene favoring the 5-OH isomer. Compound 11 contained only one acetyl group as indicated by the integration of the signal at δ 1.95 ppm. The broad singlet at 3.7 ppm was assigned as the hydrogen atom of the silanol. All the signals were assigned on the basis of the COSY and HSQC-DEPT spectra. The proposed structure for 11 was confirmed by high-resolution mass spectrum data. In summary, constrained 1,2-cis benzyl glycoside 3 and 1,2-trans thioglycosides 6 reacted in the same way when treated with 1 equiv of fluoride, to give the corresponding 5-OH derivative 7 and 10, respectively.

Use of the Thioglycoside 10 as an Acceptor. Synthesis of Galactofuran Derivatives. Thioglycoside 10 was an interesting substrate to be tested as an acceptor considering that it contains a silanol group, which was not able to undergo the acetylation reaction, together with OH-5 group in its molecule. On the other hand, after constructing the glycosidic linkage in an iterative manner, the thioglycoside function could be further activated. In order to evaluate 10 as an acceptor, the synthesis of a galactofuran oligosaccharide from Aspergillus fumigatus containing repeating units of β -D-Gal $f(1\rightarrow 5)$ was envisioned. Fragments of this antigen have been synthesized previously by the use of an anomeric chloride galactofuranosyl donor and mercuric salts as a promoter. $^{52-54}$ More recently, a

Scheme 2

biotinylated tetramer was synthesized by the thioglycoside method. ¹⁴ A trisaccharide derivative was recently synthesized as methyl glycoside as a part of methodology studies on the influence of protecting groups in galactofuranosyl donors. ²⁵ Very recently, $\beta(1-5)$ galactofuran tetrasaccharide-containing galactomannan was synthesized using selectively protected galactofuranosyl precursors, which were obtained by the newly developed pyranoside-into-furanoside (PIF) rearrangement. ¹⁸ We also decided to use conformationally constrained thiogalactofuranoside **6** as a donor because it would be plausible to further evaluate the regioselective opening of the 3,5-O-DTBS once the β -D-Gal $f(1\rightarrow 5)$ -D-Galf linkage had been constructed.

In order to generate the sulfenyltriflate *in situ*, the *p*-NO₂PhSCl/AgOTf activation system developed by Crich⁵⁵ was chosen as a promoter, which was successfully applied by us in 1,2-cis α -galactofuranosylation.²⁸ This promoting system had been also successfully applied with a wide range of thioglycopyranoside donors, such as 2-deoxy-2-nitro-1-thioglycosides,⁵⁶ and thioglucosamine derivatives donors,⁵⁷ for β -mannopyranosylation in oligosaccharide synthesis,⁵⁸ for glycosylation with galacturonic acid-derived thioglycoside donors⁵⁹ and with a thiogalactopyranoside donor.⁶⁰

The glycosylation procedure with preactivation of the donor was employed.⁵⁵ Thus, to a mixture of thiogalactofuranosyl donor 6, AgOTf, and 4 Å molecular sieves in CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C was added p-NO₂PhSCl (1.1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂. The mixture was stirred for 5 min in order to allow activation by formation of the corresponding triflate to occur. Then, a solution of thioglycoside acceptor 10 (1.1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ was added. The reaction was monitored by TLC, and when the starting material was consumed, the reaction was quenched by pouring into NaHCO3. Purification by column chromatography gave the corresponding disaccharide 12 in 56% yield, which was fully characterized spectroscopically (Scheme 2). For example, the anomeric region of the 13 C NMR spectrum showed a signal at δ 105.5 corresponding to the C-1' indicating the formation of the new β -galactofuranosyl linkage. This signal correlated (HSQC) with H-1' with $J_{1,2}$ 2.7 Hz in agreement with conformationally constrained β -galactofuranoside derivatives. ²⁸ Interestingly, the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra showed clearly the differences between the conformationally restricted galactofuranosyl residue from the nonreducing end (C-1 δ > 105; protection of C-4; an increasing values of $J_{1,2}$, $J_{2,3}$, and $J_{3,4}$, respectively) and the thiogalactofuranosyl residue of the reducing end of the new disaccharide (C-1 around 90 ppm and lower values of $J_{1,2}$) $J_{2,3}$, and $J_{3,4}$). Thus, full assignment of the signals was straightforwardly performed. On the other hand, C-5 (δ 73.0) appeared shifted downfield in comparison to the same signal in 10 (δ 68.8) due to glycosylation. A singlet at δ 3.95 ppm was assigned to the hydroxyl group linked to the silicon atom. The proposed structure was confirmed with the aid of highresolution mass spectrometry.

Once disaccharide 12 was obtained, it was decided to employ this compound as a donor in a new glycosylation step in order to incorporate one galactofuranosyl unit on the reducing end direction employing, once again, acceptor 10. In this case, the disaccharide donor 12 was not conformationally constrained. To a mixture of 12, AgOTf, and powdered 4 Å molecular sieves in CH_2Cl_2 at -78 °C and preactivated by $p\text{-NO}_2PhSCl$ for 20 min was added acceptor 10. After 1.5 h, TLC indicated the formation of a new product of higher polarity than 10, but the starting material 12 was not completely consumed. The

reaction was continued for additional 16 h at that temperature, and then, it was interrupted with sat sol NaHCO3. Purification of the residue gave trisaccharide 13 in 55% yield (86% yield considering unreacted disaccharide 12). Trisaccharide 13 has three Galf units, which are quite different in terms of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR signal displacements due to the conformationally locked Galf of the nonreducing end, the flexible internal galactofuranoside, and the thiogalactofuranoside of the reducing end. The anomeric region of the corresponding ¹³C NMR spectrum showed three anomeric carbons at 106.1, 105.7, and 90.5 ppm assigned to C-1', C-1", and C-1 based on HSQC correlations. On the other hand, C-4' and C-4 of the flexible Galf units appeared deshielded at 84.9 and 84.0 ppm, respectively, whereas the C-4" of the constrained Galf unit appeared shielded at 75.4 ppm. In the corresponding ¹H NMR spectrum, the H-1" appeared as a doublet (δ 5.52, $J_{1,2}$ = 2.7 Hz). In spite of the superposition of the H-1', H-1, and H-2 signals, the β 1,2-trans disposition of the new galactofuranosyl linkage was also confirmed by the H-2' signal (δ 5.47) with a characteristic small $J_{1,2}$ (1.2 Hz). The two broad singlets at δ 4.15 and 4.02 were assigned to the OH from the tertbutylhydroxysilyl group at O-3 of internal Galf and at O-3 of the reducing end Galf. These assignments were in agreement with the proposed structures.

Selective 3,5-O-DTBS Opening of Disaccharide 12 and Trisaccharide 13. We next evaluated the regioselective opening of 3,5-O-DTBS group on constrained terminal Galf in disaccharide 12 and trisaccharide 13 aimed at transforming them into acceptors at the nonreducing end. In this case, both compounds have two different kinds of silyl protecting group. Disaccharide 12 treated with 1 equiv of nBu₄NF in CH₂Cl₂ at -15 °C and stirred at -20 °C for 20 h produced a major compound (TLC showed no presence of substrate), which was purified by column chromatography to yield p-tolyl 2,6-di-Obenzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl- β -D-galactofuranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow$ 5)-2,6-di-*O*-benzoyl-3-*O*-di-*tert*-butylhydroxysilyl-1-thio-*β*-Dgalactofuranoside (14) in 61% yield. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed four singlets at high field corresponding to both tertbutylsilyl groups, thus a selective opening occurred, rather than a silyl removal. The 13C NMR spectrum of 14 was quite diagnostic showing four signals around 80 ppm attributed to the presence of two flexible Galf units in β -configuration and assigned to each C-2 and C-4. The full assignment of the signals of the NMR spectra was performed based on COSY and HSQC-DEPT spectrum. The H-1' (δ 5.57) now appeared as a broad singlet characteristic of a flexible β -D-galactofuranoside. The H-5' appeared as a multiplet at δ 4.17 and correlated to OH-5 at δ 2.72 which appeared as a broad doublet, confirming the selective opening of the 3,5-O-DTBS group at the position 5. The two broad singlets at 4.11 and 3.67 ppm were assigned as the OH of the di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl groups at O-3 of each Galf unit. Mass spectrometry confirmed the obtainment of this

After succeeding in the regioselective opening of disaccharide 12, selective deprotection of trisaccharide 13 was performed, and trisaccharide 15 was obtained as a single regioisomer in 41% yield. Analysis of the corresponding ¹H NMR spectrum showed six protected singlets (around 1 ppm) corresponding to the three *tert*-butyl of the silyl groups. The H-1" signal now appeared as singlet due to the new flexible ring at the nonreducing end of the oligosaccharide. The H-5" signal (m, δ 4.39 ppm) correlated (COSY) to the OH-5 at δ 2.82 indicating that the selective opening occurred at the O-5 position. The

three OH from the *tert*-butylhydroxylsilylgroup bonded to O-3 of each Galf units appeared at 4.25, 4.20, and 3.80 ppm. The structure was in agreement with the mass spectrometry data.

Complete silyl removal on trisaccharide 15 was attempted by reaction with an excess of nBu₄NF in THF, but TLC monitoring showed a complex mixture of more polar compounds probably due to partial deacylation under basic reaction condition. Alternatively, when milder conditions were used by treatment of 15 with an excess of nBu₄NF buffered with AcOH in anhydrous THF-DMF, 17,61 selective removal of the SitBu₂OH of the 3-O-position of the nonreducing end Galf was obtained yielding 16 as a single product (85%) in spite of the excess of the reagent. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, the tertbutylbutylsilyl groups appeared as four singlets (~1 ppm) with integration of 36H. Full spectroscopic assignment was performed based on bidimensional NMR spectra. The terminal nonglycosylated C-5" appeared at 70.9 ppm. The H-3" in 16 shifted upfield (~0.4 ppm) in comparison with the same signal in 15 and correlated (COSY) with OH-3" at 4.09 ppm. The proposed structure was in agreement with mass spectrometry data.

Complete removal of the protecting groups was first attempted on disaccharide 14, by treatment with an excess of nBu₄NF in THF followed by debenzoylation with NaOMe in MeOH. In this case, disaccharide 17 (Scheme 2) was obtained in 61%. The ¹³C NMR showed diagnostic signals at C-1' and C-1 at 109.4 and 93.2 ppm, respectively. Interestingly, in the ¹H NMR spectrum, the H-1' (δ 5.14) appeared as a singlet as expected for a β -Galf O-glycoside, whereas the H-1 (δ 5.13) appeared as a doublet with J = 5.3 Hz. The increment of Jvalues on β -Galf thioglycosides upon deprotection has been reported previously.⁵¹ Trisaccharide 16 was fully deprotected employing the same procedure to yield compound 18 (58% for the two reaction steps). In the corresponding ¹³C NMR spectrum, the C-1 appeared at 93.0 ppm and correlated (HSQC) with the H-1 (δ 5.13) having a I = 5.3 Hz as expected. The C-1'and C-1" appeared superimposed at 109.0 ppm which correlated (HSQC) with H-1' and H-1" at δ 5.13 and 5.11 (could be interchanged) with small J values.

CONCLUSION

A useful method for regioselective opening of 3,5-O-di-tert-butylsilylene-D-galactofuranoside derivatives has been developed by the use of nBu_4NF (1.1 equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 . This method was applied to 1,2-cis α -benzyl glycoside 3 and the 1,2-trans thiotolyl derivative 6. The 3-O-di-tert-butylsilylhydroxyl group was stable to carry out a further glycosylation reaction using the Crich promoting system. The 5-O-regioselective silylene opening was also extended to the di- and trisaccharide products of glycosylation, showing the strength of this method. This work provides a solid and reliable new approach for synthesizing galactofuranosyl-containing oligosaccharides. Certainly, these studies present a broad scope to access an orthogonal protected galactofuranosyl precursor of synthetic relevance. Further studies on the strength of the present protocol are currently being pursued in our laboratory.

■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. TLC was performed on 0.2 mm silica gel 60 F254 aluminum-supported plates. Detection was effected by exposure to UV light or by spraying with 5% (v/v) sulfuric acid in EtOH and charring. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Melting points are uncorrected. NMR spectra were

recorded at 500 MHz (¹H) and 125.8 MHz (¹³C) or at 200 MHz (¹H) and 50.3 MHz (¹³C). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra assignments were supported by homonuclear COSY and HSQC-DEPT experiments. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a TOF-Q electrospray ionization mass spectrometer. Optical rotations were measured with a path length of 1 dm at 25 °C. COSY and HSQC-DEPT spectra were employed to confirm the ¹H and ¹³C NMR peak assignments.

Benzyl 2,6-Di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl- α -D-galactofuranoside (7). Procedure A (THF as Solvent). To stirred solution of benzyl 2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3,5-O-(di-tert-butylsilanediyl)- α -D-galacto-furanoside ²⁸ (3, 187 mg, 0.30 mmol) in THF (2.5 mL) cooled to 0 °C, a solution of nBu₄NF (94 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in THF (520 uL) was added. After 1 h of stirring, TLC analysis showed complete conversion of the starting material. The reaction was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL), and it was washed with water (3 × 3 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated at low pressure. Purification by column chromatography of the residue (8:1 hexane-EtAcO) afforded a mixture (152 mg; 79%, R_f 0.38 3:1 hexane-EtOAc) of 7 (see Procedure B) and a minor compound suggested benzyl 2,6-di-O-benzoyl-5-O-di-tertbutylhydroxysilyl- α -D-galactofuranoside (8) as a colorless syrup, in 5:1 ratio as determined by ¹H NMR of the product (see SI). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ for the anomeric signals of the mixture 5.47 (d, 1H, J = 4.4 Hz, H-1 of 7), 5.42 (d, 0.2 H, J = 4.6 Hz H-1 of 8). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ for all signals of the mixture 166.5, 166.2, 136.5, 133.4, 133.1, 130.0, 129.8, 129.7, 129.2, 128.5, 128.4, 128.34, 128.28, 128.1, 128.0, 127.6, 99.9, 83.0, 82.7, 80.1, 79.7, 73.8, 73.3, 73.1, 71.6, 70.9, 67.8, 65.6, 65.5, 27.5, 27.32, 27.28, 27.25, 20.4, 20.0.

Procedure B (in CH₂Cl₂ as Solvent). A solution of benzyl 2,6-di-Obenzoyl-3,5-O-(di-tert-butylsilanediyl)-α-D-galactofuranoside²⁸ mg, 0.29 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.5 mL) was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of nBu₄NF (90 mg, 0.35 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (500 µL) was added with stirring. After 1 h, the reaction was allowed to reach -20°C and left overnight. After 18 h of stirring, TLC analysis showed complete conversion of the starting material. The mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL), and it was washed with water (3 × 3 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated at low pressure. Purification by column chromatography of the residue (8:1 hexane-EtAcO) afforded 7 (155 mg; 84%) as a colorless syrup. R_f 0.38 (3:1 hexane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ +82.2 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.06 (m, 4H, arom.), 7.59 (m, 2H, arom.), 7.45 (m, 4H, arom.), 7.18 (m, 5H, arom.), 5.47 (d, 1H, J = 4.4 Hz, H-1), 5.17 (dd, 1H, J = 4.4, 7.3 Hz, H-2), 5.14 (dd, 1H, J = 5.7, 7.3 Hz, H-3), 4.74, 4.55 (2d, 2H, J = 11.5 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.44 (dd, 1H, J = 11.1, 6.4 Hz, H-6a), 4.33 (dd, 1H, J = 11.1, 6.4 Hz, H-6b), 4.22 (m, 2H, H-5, H-4), 2.93 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz, OH-5), 2.76 (br s, 1H, SiOH), 0.99 (s, 18H, (CH₃)₃C); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 128.5 MHz) δ 166.5, 166.2 (COPh), 136.5, 133.4, 133.1, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 129.2, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9 (arom.), 99.9 (C-1), 82.7 (C-4), 80.1 (C-2), 73.3 (C-3), 71.60 (CH₂Ph), 67.8 (C-5), 65.5 (C-6), 27.29, 27.25 ((CH₃)₃C), 20.4, 20.0 ((CH₃)₃C). HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₃₅H₄₄NaO₉Si [M + Na]⁺ 659.2647, found 659.2655.

Benzyl 5-O-Acetyl-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl- α -D-galactofuranoside (9). To a solution of 7 (20 mg, 0.031 mmol) in dry pyridine (0.50 mL) cooled to 0 °C was added acetic anhydride (0.50 mL) with stirring. After 3 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched with MeOH (0.25 mL) and concentrated in vacuum. The residue was co-evaporated with toluene $(3 \times 1 \text{ mL})$ to give 9 (20 mg, 93%) as a colorless syrup. R_f 0.65 (3:1 hexane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ +110.0 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CĎCl₃, 500 MHz) δ = 8.09 (dd, 2H, J= 8.4, 1.3 Hz, Ar), 8.02 (dd, 2H, J = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, Ar), 7.59 (m, 2H, Ar),7.46 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.17 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.67 (td, 1H, J = 6.3, 1.8 Hz, H-5), 5.40 (d, 1H, J = 4.4 Hz, H-1), 5.19 (dd, 1H, J = 7.9, 4.4 Hz, H-2), 4.85(dd, 1H, J = 7.9, 7.0 Hz, H-3), 4.80 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.58 (dd, 1H, J = 7.0, 11.4 Hz, H-6a), 4.55 (dd, 1H, J = 6.0, 11.4 Hz, H-6b),4.49 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.19 (dd, 1H, J = 7.0, 1.8 Hz, H-4), 3.80 (bs, 1H, SiOH), 1.91 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 1.01, 1.00 (2s, 18H, $2(CH_3)_3C)$; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz) δ 172.1 (CH₃CO), 166.2, 166.0, 137.5, 133.3, 133.2, 129.9, 129.7, 129.6, 129.3, 128.4, 128.4, 128.2, 127.5, 127.2 (Ar.), 98.6 (C-1), 80.6 (C-4), 79.4 (C-2), 73.2 (C-3), 69.6 (C-5), 69.3 (CH₂Ph), 63.5 (C-6), 27.3, 27.3

((CH₃)₃C), 20.8, 20.8 ((CH₃)₃C), 19.8 (CH₃CO); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{37}H_{46}NaO_{10}Si~[M+Na]^+$ 701.2753, found 701.2759.

p-Tolyl 2,6-Di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl-1-thio-β-Dgalactofuranoside (10). To a solution of p-tolyl 2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3,5-O-(di-tert-butylsilanediyl)-1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside²⁸(6, 693 mg, 1.09 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8.7 mL) at 0 °C, a solution of nBu₄NF (313 mg, 1.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL) was added in portions of 50 μ L every 5 min with stirring. After the addition was finished, the mixture was cooled to -20 $^{\circ}$ C, and the stirring continued for 40 h when a TLC showed no starting material left. The mixture was washed with H_2O (3 × 10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (6:1 hexane-EtOAc) of the residue gave 10 (640 mg, 90%) as a colorless syrup. R_f 0.40 (10:1 toluene-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ -111.0 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.05 (dd, 2H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 8.01 (dd, 2H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 7.61–7.54 (m, 2H, arom.), 7.46–7.39 (m, 6H, arom.), 7.09 (d, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz, arom.), 5.65 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.45 (t, 1H, J = 1.4 Hz, H-2), 4.77 (ddd, 1H, J = 1.0, 1.5, 4.9 Hz, H-3), 4.54 (ddd, 1H, J = 0.6, 2.3, 4.9 Hz, H-4), 4.53 (dd, 1H, J = 6.9, 11.5 Hz, H-6a), 4.44 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.1, 11.5 Hz, H-6b), 4.30 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.66 (br s, 1H, SiOH), 2.53 (d, 1H, I = 8.6 Hz, OH-5), 2.31 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 1.08, 1.04 (2s, 18 H, 2 (C H_3)₃C); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz) δ 166.6, 166.3 (COPh); 138.0, 133.7, 133.2, 132.8, 130.3, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 128.9, 128.6, 128.4 (arom.); 91.9 (C-1), 85.1 (C-2), 84.9 (C-4), 77.5 (C-3), 68.8 (C-5), 66.2 (C-6); 27.4, 27.3 ((CH₃)₃C); 21.1, 20.4 ((CH₃)₃C), 20.5 (PhCH₃); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{35}H_{44}NaO_8SSi [M + Na]^+ 675.2418$, found 675.2427.

p-Tolyl 5-O-Acetyl-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl-1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside (11). To a solution of 10 (9 mg, 0.014 mmol) in dry pyridine (0.25 mL) cooled to -20 °C was added acetic anhydride (0.25 mL) with stirring. After 72 h, the reaction was quenched with MeOH (0.1 mL) and concentrated in vacuum. The residue was co-evaporated with toluene $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ to give 11 (9 mg, 93%) as a colorless syrup. R_f 0.43 (5:1 hexane-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ -66.2 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.02 (dd, 2H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.); 7.96 (dd, 2H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 7.61–7.52 (m, 2H, arom.), 7.46-7.38 (m, 6H, arom.), 7.10 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz, arom.), 5.70 (ddd, 1H, J = 3.0, 4.6, 7.4 Hz, H-5), 5.66 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.43 (t, 1H, J = 1.4 Hz, H-2), 4.62 (ddd, 1H, J = 0.6, 3.0, 5.0 Hz, H-4), 4.58 (dd, 1H, J = 7.3, 11.7 Hz, H-6a), 4.55 (dt, 1H, J = 1.2, 5.0 Hz, H-3),4.49 (dd, 1H, J = 7.3, 11.7 Hz, H-6b), 3.75 (br s, 1H, SiOH), 2.31 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 1.95 (s, 3H, CH₃CO); 1.07, 1.05 (2s, 18H, 2 (CH₃)₃C); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz) δ 170.7 (CH₃CO); 166.2, 166.0 (PhCO); 137.9, 133.8, 133.2, 132.7, 130.2, 129.9, 129.8, 129.6, 129.5, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4 (arom); 91.4 (C-1), 85.3 (C-2), 83.7 (C-4), 77.2 (C-3), 69.3 (C-5), 63.4 (C-6); 27.4, 27.3 ((CH₃)₃C); 21.1, 20.4 $((CH_3)_3C)$, 21.1 (PhCH₃), 20.7 (CH₃CO); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{37}H_{46}NaO_{\phi}SSi$ [M + Na]⁺ 717.2524, found 717.2520. *p-Tolyl* 2,6-Di-O-benzoyl-3,5-O-(di-tert-butylsilanediyl)-β-D-gal-

actofuranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 5)$ -2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl-1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside (12). To a suspension of 6 (54 mg, 0.085 mmol), AgOTf (65 mg, 0.25 mmol), and powdered 4 Å molecular sieves in anhyd CH2Cl2 (1.6 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of 4-nitrobenzenesulfenyl chloride⁵⁵ (17 mg, 0.089 mmol) in anhyd CH₂Cl₂ (0.20 mL) with vigorous stirring. After 5 min, a solution of acceptor 10 (55 mg, 0.085 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.21 mL) was added. After 1 h, TLC monitoring indicated that no 10 was left, and the mixture was poured into saturated aq NaHCO₃ (20 mL) with vigorous stirring. The organic layer was separated, washed with water $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. Column chromatography of the residue (80:1 toluene-EtOAc) gave syrupy 12 (55 mg, 56%) as a colorless syrup. R_f 0.38 (20:1 toluene-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ -50.5 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.10–8.03 (m, 4H, arom.), 7.91 (dd, 2H, *J* = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 7.84 (dd, 2H, *J* = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 7.58–7.52 (m, 3H, arom.), 7.45–7.35 (m, 9H, arom), 7.22 (dd, 2H, J = 7.6, 8.2 Hz, arom.), 7.09 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz, arom.), 5.63 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.53 (t, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz, H-2), 5.47 (d, 1H, J = 2.7Hz, H-1'), 5.44 (dd, 1H, J = 2.7, 6.9 Hz, H-2'), 4.87 (d, 1H, J = 5.1 Hz, H-3), 4.84 (td, 1H, J = 3.3, 6.9 Hz, H-5'), 4.72 (dd, 1H, J = 3.3, 11.9 Hz, H-6a'), 4.65 (dd, 1H, J = 4.6, 11.8 Hz, H-6a), 4.61 (dd, 1H, J =

6.9, 10.2 Hz, H-3′), 4.58–4.60 (m, 1H, H-4), 4.55 (dd, 1H, J=6.4, 11.4 Hz, H-6b), 4.52 (dd, 1H, J=7.2, 11.9 Hz, H-6b′), 4.44 (dd, 1H, J=6.9, 10.2 Hz, H-4′), 4.44 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.95 (s, 1H, SiOH), 2.31 (s, 3H, PhCH₃); 1.06, 1.04, 0.98, 0.87 (4s, 36H, (CH₃)₃C); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz) δ 166.7, 166.1, 166.0, 165.4 (PHCO); 137.6, 133.6, 133.0, 132.9, 132.4, 130.0, 129.8, 129.72, 129.67, 129.5, 129.4, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128,2 (arom.); 105.5 (C-1′), 91.4 (C-1), 85.1 (C-2), 84.7 (C-4), 82.7 (C-2′), 76.4 (C-3), 75.4 (C-3′), 75.3 (C-4′), 73.0 (C-5), 71.1 (C-5′), 64.9 (C-6′), 64.1 (C-6); 27.4, 27.3, 26.9 ((CH₃)₃C); 21.6, 20.6, 20.5, 20.2 ((CH₃)₃C), 21.1 (PhCH₃); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C_{63} H₇₈NaO₁₅SSi₂ [M + Na]⁺ 1185.4492, found 1185.4500.

p-Tolyl 2,6-Di-O-benzoyl-3,5-O-(di-tert-butylsilanediyl)-β-D-galactofuranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 5)$ -2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysil $yl-\beta$ -D-galactofuranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 5)-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert- butylhydroxysilyl-1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside (13). To a suspension of disaccharide donor 12 (95 mg, 0.082 mmol), AgOTf (61 mg, 0.24 mmol), and powdered 4 Å molecular sieves in anhyd CH₂Cl₂ (1.6 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of 4-nitrobenzenesulfenyl chloride (18 mg, 0.095 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.18 mL) with stirring. After 20 min, a solution of acceptor 10 (59 mg, 0.090 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.25 mL) was added. After stirring for 18 h at -78 °C, the mixture was poured into saturated aq NaHCO₃ (2 mL) with vigorous stirring. Additional CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added to the mixture. The organic phase was separated, washed with water $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$, dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography of the residue (40:1 toluene-EtOAc) gave 13 (76 mg, 55%) as a colorless syrup. R_f 0.39 (15:1 toluene-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ –27.8 (c 1, CHCl₃); 1H NMR (ČDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.06 (dd, 3H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 8.02 (dd, 3H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 7.93-7.82 (m, 6H, arom.), 7.78 (dd, 2H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 7.58-7.46 (m, 6H, arom.), 7.46-7.31 (m, 16H, arom.), 7.23-7.14 (m, 6H, arom.), 7.06 (d, 2H, I = 7.9 Hz, arom.), 5.59-5.56 (m, 3H, H-1, H-1', H-2), 5.52 (d, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz, H-1''), 5.47 (dd, 1H, J = 1.2, 2.6 Hz, H-2'), 5.44 (dd, 1H, J = 2.7, 7.0 Hz, H-2"), 4.95 (ddd, 1H, J = 1.0, 2.4, 5.2 Hz, H-3), 4.85 (dd, 1H, J = 2.6, 5.8 Hz, H-3'), 4.82 (dt, 1H, J = 3.3, 7.0, H-5"), 4.68 (dd, 1H, J =3.3, 12.0 Hz, H-6a"), 4.66-4.59 (m, 3H, H-3", H-6a', H-6b'), 4.52-4.59 (m, 3H, H-6b", H-6a, H-6b), 4.51-4.43 (m, 4H, H-4, H-5, H-4', H-4"), 4.36 (m, 1H, H-5'), 4.15, 4.02 (2 br s, 2H, SiOH), 2.27 (s, 3H, PhCH₃); 1.03, 1.02, 0.99, 0.97, 0.95, 0.92 (6s, 58H, (CH₃)₃C); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 128,5 MHz) δ 166.6, 166.01, 165.96, 165.9, 165.4 (PHCO); 137.6, 133.5, 133.4, 133.0, 132.9, 132.6, 129.89, 129.85, 129.73, 129.65, 129.5, 129.4, 128.43, 128.37, 128.3, 128.2; 106.1 (C-1'), 105.7 (C-1"), 90.5 (C-1), 84.9 (C-2', C-4'), 84.5 (C-2), 84.0 (C-4), 82.8 (C-2"), 76.1 (C-3), 75.8 (C-3'), 75.39 (C-3"), 75.33 (C-4"), 74.2 (C-5), 73.2 (C-5'), 71.1 (C-5"), 65.0 (C-6), 64.8 (C-6"), 64.1 (C-6'); 27.44, 27.40, 27.3, 27.2, 27.0, 26.9 ((CH₃)₃C); 21.6, 20.6, 20.5, 20.3, 20.2 ((CH₃)₃C), 21.1 (PhCH₃); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{91}H_{114}NaO_{23}SSi_3$ [M + Na]⁺ 1713.6677, found 1713.6605. Unreacted donor 12 (34 mg) was also recovered.

*p-Tolyl 2,6-Di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl-β-p-galac*tofuranosyl-(1→5)-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl-1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside (14). To a solution of 12 (148 mg, 0.127 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) cooled to -15 °C, a solution of nBu₄NF (37 mg, 0.14 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added in portions during 1.5 h with stirring. After 16 h of stirring at −20 °C, TLC showed that no starting material was left. The mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL), washed with water (3 × 3 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (4:1 hexane-EtOAc) to yield 14 (92 mg, 61%) as a colorless syrup. R_f 0.25 (toluene-EtOAc 10:1); $[\alpha]_D$ -45.2 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.02 (dd, 2H, J =1.3; 8.4 Hz, arom.), 8.00 (dd, 2H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 7.92-7.85 (m, 4H, arom.), 7.59–7.50 (m, 3H, arom.), 7.46–7.34 (m, 9H, arom.), 7.19 (t, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz, arom.), 7.09 (d, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz, arom.), 5.57 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.61-5.53 (m, 2H, H-1, H-2), 5.41 (dd, 1H, *J* = 1.0, 2.5 Hz, H-2'), 4.90 (ddd, 1H, J = 0.5, 2.6, 5.5 Hz, H-3), 4.73 (dd, 1H, J = 2.5, 5.4 Hz, H-3'), 4.60 (dd, 1H, J = 4.5, 11.7 Hz, H-6a), 4.53 (dd, 1H, J = 6.6, 11.6 Hz, H-6b), 4.50-4.42 (m, 3H, H-6a', H-4, H-5), 4.40-4.33 (m, 2H, H-6b', H-4'), 4.17 (m, 1H, H-5'), 4.11 (br s, 1H, SiOH), 3.67

(br s, 1H, SiOH), 2.72 (br d, 1H, J = 5.6 Hz, OH-5′), 2.30 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 1.03, 1.02, 0.97, 0.95 (4s, 36H, (CH₃)₃C). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz) δ 166.8, 166.03, 165.97, 165.9 (PHCO), 137.7, 133.6, 133.5, 133.2, 132.9, 132.6, 129.9, 129.71, 129.68, 129.6, 129.5, 128.1, 128.8, 128.5, 128.44, 128.37, 128.3 (arom.); 106.6 (C-1′), 90.7 (C-1), 85.4 (C-4), 84.8 (C-2), 84.4 (C-2′), 83.7 (C-4′), 76.6 (C-3′), 76.4 (C-3), 74.8 (C-5), 69.2 (C-5′), 66.5 (C-6′), 64.5 (C-6); 27.42, 27.40, 27.3, 27.2 ((CH₃)₃C); 21.1, 20.2, 20.1 ((CH₃)₃C), 20.5 (PhCH₃); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{63}H_{80}NaO_{16}SSi_2$ [M + Na]⁺ 1203.4598, found 1203.4593.

p-Tolvl 2.6-Di-O-benzovl-3-O-di-tert-butvlhvdroxvsilvl-β-p-aalactofuranosyl-(1→5)-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl- β -D-galactofuranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 5)-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl-1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside (15). To a solution of 13 (19 mg, 0.011 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) cooled to −15 °C, a solution of nBu₄NF (3.5 mg, 0.013 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.21 mL) was added in portions during 2.5 h with stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at −20 °C, and a TLC showed no starting material left. The mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL), washed with water (3 × 5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (15:1 toluene-EtOAc) to yield 15 (8 mg, 42%) as a colorless syrup. R_f 0.39 (15:1 toluene-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ +93.3 (c 0.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.00 (dd, 2H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 7.97 (dd, 2H, J = 1.3, 8.4 Hz, arom.), 7.90-7.83 (m, 8H, arom.), 7.59-7.45 (m, 4H, arom.), 7.43 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz, arom.), 7.41–7.29 (m, 10H, arom.), 7.19– 7.10 (m, 4H, arom.), 7.07 (d, 2H, I = 7.9 Hz, arom.), 5.64 (s, 1H, H-1"), 5.61 (d, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, H-1), 5.55 (t, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, H-2), 5.50 (dd, 1H, J = 1.7, 3.9 Hz, H-2'), 5.46 (d, 1H, J = 1.7 Hz, H-1'), 5.41 (d, 1H, I = 1.3 Hz, H-2"), 4.97 (dd, 1H, I = 1.7, 5.0 Hz, H-3), 4.88 (dd, 1H, J = 3.9, 6.7 Hz, H-3'), 4.70 (dd, 1H J = 2.0, 4.6 Hz, H-3"), 4.67 (dd, 1H, J = 4.8, 11.6 Hz, H-6a), 4.59 (dd, 1H, J = 7.4, 11.9 Hz, H-6a'), 4.56 (dd, 1H, J = 3.7, 5.0 Hz, H-4), 4.53 (dd, 1H, J = 1.1, 11.6 Hz, H-6b), 4.52 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4, 11.9 Hz, H-6b'), 4.49–4.42 (m, 4H, H-6a", H-6b", H-4", H-5), 4.39 (m, 1H, H-5'), 4.33 (dd, J = 2.6, 6.7 Hz, H-4'); 4.25, 4.20, 3.80 (3 br s, 3H, SiOH), 4.17 (m, 1H, H-5"), 2.82 (bs, 1H, OH-5"), 2.27 (s, 3H, PhCH₃); 1.06, 1.05, 0.97, 0.95, 0.933, 0.931 (6s, 54H, (CH $_3$) $_3$ C); 13 C NMR (CDCl $_3$, 125.8 MHz) δ 166.1, 166.0, 165.92, 165.89, 165.8 (PhCO); 133.7, 133.3, 132.8, 132.5, 129.9, 129.7, 129.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3 (arom.); 107.1 (C-1"), 106.0 (C-1'), 91.0 (C-1), 86.5 (C-4"), 84.9 (C-2, C-2'), 84.6 (C-4), 84.2 (C-2"), 83.2 (C-4'), 76.7 (C-3") 76.4 (C-3), 75.5 (C-3'), 74.9 (C-5'), 74.3 (C-5), 69.8 (C-5"), 66.4 (C-6"), 65.3 (C-6'), 63.8 (C-6); 27.5, 27.4, 27.24, 27.17 ((CH₃)₃C); 21.1 (PhCH₃); 20.5, 20.4, 20.3, 20.2, 20.0 ((CH₃)₃C); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{91}H_{116}NaO_{24}SSi_3$ [M + Na]⁺ 1731.6777, found 1731.6789.

p-Tolyl 2,6-Di-O-benzoyl- β -D-galactofuranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 5)$ -2,6-di-Obenzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl- β -D-galactofuranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 5)-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-3-O-di-tert-butylhydroxysilyl-1-thio-β-D-galactofuranoside (16). To a stirred solution of 15 (21.9 mg, 0.0128 mmol) in anhyd DMF (0.160 mL) cooled to 0 °C, AcOH (6.6 μ L, mmol, 8.8 equiv) was added followed by a solution of 1 M nBu₄NF in anhyd THF (102 μ L, 0.102 mmol, 8 equiv). After 4 h at 0 °C, TLC monitoring showed a main spot at \bar{R}_f 0.53 (4:1 toluene-EtOAc). The mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL), washed with water (5 × 2 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (9:1 toluene-EtOAc) to yield 16 (16.8 mg, 85%) as a colorless glassy solid. R_f 0.53 (4:1 toluene-EtOAc); $[\alpha]_D$ -45.4° (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.04 (dd, 2H, J = 1.4, 8.5 Hz, arom.), 7.97 (dd, 2H, J = 1.4, 8.1 Hz, arom.), 7.91-7.88 (m, 7H, arom.), 7.55-7.34 (m, 17H, arom.), 7.29 (t, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz, arom.), 7.16 (t, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, arom.), 7.08 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz, arom.), 5.69 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.66 (d, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz, H-1''), 5.57 (t, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz, H-2), 5.53 (d, 1H, J = 3.6 Hz, H-1), 5.19 (dd, 1H, J = 1.2, 2.9 Hz, H-2"), 4.95 (dd, 1H, J = 6.3, 3.7 Hz, H-3),4.78 (dd, 1H, J = 4.0, 1.6 Hz, H-3'), 4.60 (dd, 1H, J = 6.7, 11.6 Hz, H-6a), 4.59-4.52 (m, 3H, H-6a', H-6b, SiOH), 4.52 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 11.9 Hz, H-6a"), 4.51–4.45 (m, 3H, H-5, H-4', H-6b'), 4.41 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5, 12.0 Hz, H-6b"), 4.39 (dd, 1H, J = 2.5, 6.3 Hz, H-4), 4.34 (m, 1H, H-5'), 4.33-4.29 (m, 2H, H-3", H-4"), 4.21 (br s, 1H, SiOH), 4.16 (m, 1H, H-5"), 4.09 (d, 1H, J = 5.8 Hz OH-3"), 2.85 (bs, 1H, OH-5"), 2.29 (s, 3H, PhCH₃); 1.00, 0.99, 0.97, 0.95 (4s, 36H, CH₃)₃C); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125.8 MHz) δ 166.7, 166.5, 166.2, 166.03, 166.00, 165.9 (PhCO); 137.6, 133.6, 133.4, 133.2, 133.0, 132.6, 130.2, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 129.3, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 107.0 (C-1'), 104.6 (C-1"), 89.9 (C-1); 86.2, 86.1 (C-2", C-4'); 84.5, 84.4, 84.3 (C-4", C-2', C-2), 82.8 (C-4), 77.1 (C-3"), 76.5 (C-3'), 75.9 (C-3), 74.6 (C-5), 73.1 (C-5'), 70.9 (C-5"), 66.3 (C-6"); 64.3, 64.1 (C-6', C-6), 27.3, 27.2 ((CH₃)₃C), 21.1 (PhCH₃); 20.7, 20.3, 20.2, 19.90 ((CH₃)₃C); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₈₃H₉₈NaO₂₃SSi₂ [M + N₃]⁺ 1573.5650, found 1573.5875.

p-Tolyl β -D-Galactofuranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 5)$ -1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside (17). To a solution of 14 (20 mg, 0.017 mmol) in anhyd THF (0.2 mL) cooled to 0 °C, a solution of nBu₄NF (44 mg, 0.17 mmol, 10 equiv) in THF (0.8 mL) was added with stirring. After 5 h of stirring at 0 °C and additional 16 h at -20 °C, the mixture was evaporated in vacuo. To the residue was added a cold (0 °C) solution of 0.75 M NaOMe in methanol (0.2 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 6 h. TLC examination showed only one compound (R_f 0.40, 5:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH). The solution was passed through a cationic interchange column (H⁺ form) washing with 95:5 MeOH:H₂O. The eluate was neutralized with a solution of TEA-MeOH and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the methyl benzoate was co-evaporated with water $(3 \times 0.5 \text{ mL})$. The residue was further purified twice through a C18 cartridge, eluting with 75:25 H₂O:CH₂OH and MeOH. Concentration of the fractions at room temperature gave 4.6 mg of 17 (61%) as a colorless syrup. R_f 0.40 (5:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH); $[\alpha]_D$ -153.2 (c 0.5, MeOH); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 500 MHz) δ 7.39 (d, I =8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (dd, 2H, J = 8.3, 0.6 Hz, arom), 5.14 (d, 1H, J = 1.1Hz, H-1'), 5.13 (d, 1H, J = 5.3 Hz, H-1), 4.15 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5, 5.4 Hz, H-3), 4.06 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.02 (d, 1H, I = 1.1 Hz, H-2'), 4.01 (dd, 1H, J = 1.1, 3.0 Hz, H-3'), 4.01 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5, 3.0 Hz, H-4), 3.91 (t, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, H-2, 3.91–3.88 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.74 (dd, 1H, J = 6.6, 11.6 Hz, H-6a), 3.72 (dd, 1H, J = 6.0, 11.6 Hz, H-6b), 3.72-3.69 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.63 (dd, 1H, J = 6.1, 11.2 Hz, H-6a'), 3.60 (dd, 1H, J = 6.8, 11.2 Hz, H-6b"), 2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃); 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 139.0, 133.8, 132.1, 130.8 (arom); 109.4 (C-1'), 93.2 (C-1), 84.4 (C-4'), 83.3 (C-4), 83.1 (C-2), 82.6 (C-2'), 78.7(C-3'), 77.9 (C-3), 77.3 (C-5), 72.2 (C-5'), 64.4 (C-6), 62.9 (C-6'), 21.3 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{19}H_{28}NaO_{10}S$ [M + Na]⁺ 471.1295, found 471.1313.

p-Tolyl β -D-Galactofuranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 5)- β -D-galactofuranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 5)-1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside (18). To a solution of 16 (15 mg, 0.0098 mmol mmol) in anhyd THF (0.2 mL) cooled to 0 °C, a solution of *n*Bu₄NF (26 mg, 0.099 mmol, 10 equiv) in THF (0.4 mL) was added with stirring. After 6 h of stirring at 0 °C and an additional 12 h at -20 °C and 3 h at 10 °C, the mixture was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was then treated with a cold (0 °C) solution of 0.75 M NaOMe in methanol (0.2 mL) for 8 h and then processed and purified as described for 17 to yield 3.4 mg of 18 as colorless syrup (58%). R_f 0.46 (3:1 EtOAc-methanol); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ –157.1 (*c* 0.3, MeOH); ¹H NMR (CD₂OD, 500 MHz) δ 7.40 (d, 2H, I = 7.9 Hz, arom), 7.14 (d, 2H, I =7.9 Hz, arom), 5.13 (d, 1H, J = 0.7 Hz, H-1' or H-1"), 5.13 (d, 1H, J =5.3 Hz, H-1), 5.11 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.8 Hz, H-1'or H-1"), 4.14 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.3, 7.3 Hz, H-3), 4.14-4.10 (m, 2H, H-4', H-4''), 4.07 (dd, 1H, J=4.0, 6.8 Hz, H-3'), 4.03-3.99 (m, 4H, H-2', H-2", H-3", H-4), 3.91 (t, 1H, J = 5.3 Hz, H-2), 3.94–3.85 (m, 2H, H-5, H-5'), 3.75–3.68 (m, 5H, H-5', H-6a, H-6b, H-6a', H-6b'), 3.66 (dd, 1H, J = 6.2, 11.2 Hz, H-6a"), 3.62 (dd, 1H, J = 6.7, 11.2 Hz, H-6b"), 2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 138.8, 133.7, 131.9, 130.6 (arom.), 109.0 (C-1', C-1"), 93.0 (C-1), 84.6 (C-4"), 83.6 (C-4, C-2'or C-2"), 83.4 (C-4'), 83.0 (C-4, C-2' or C-2"), 82.9 (C-2), 82.4 (C-4, C-2' or C-2"), 78.7 (C-3"), 78.3 (C-3'), 77.9 (C-3); 77.2', 76.7 (C-5', C-5), 64.2 (C-6"); 62.5, 62.0 (C-6, C-6'), 21.1 (CH₃); HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $C_{25}H_{38}NaO_{15}S [M + Na]^{+} 633.1824$, found 633.1819.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01562.

NMR spectra for all new compounds 7 and 9–18 (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*cgallo@qo.fcen.uba.ar

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from ANPCyT PICT 2013-0205, Universidad de Buenos Aires UBACyT 20020150100070BA and CONICET PIP 11220150100366CO. C.G.-R. is a research member of CONICET.

REFERENCES

- (1) Essentials of Glycobiology, 2nd ed.; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: New York, 2009.
- (2) Boltje, T. J.; Buskas, T.; Boons, G.-J. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 611-622.
- (3) Wang, L.-X.; Davis, B. G. Chemical Science 2013, 4, 3381-3394.
- (4) Hudak, J. E.; Bertozzi, C. R. Chem. Biol. 2014, 21, 16-37.
- (5) Stallforth, P.; Lepenies, B.; Adibekian, A.; Seeberger, P. H. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 5561–5577.
- (6) Peltier, P.; Euzen, R.; Daniellou, R.; Nugier-Chauvin, C.; Ferrieres, V. Carbohydr. Res. 2008, 343, 1897–1923.
- (7) Richards, M. R.; Lowary, T. L. ChemBioChem 2009, 10, 1920–1938.
- (8) Marino, C.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C.; de Lederkremer, R. M. In *Glycans: Biochemistry, Characterization and Applications*; Mora Montes, H. M., Ed.; Nova Science: Hauppauge, New York, 2012; pp 207–268.
- (9) Pedersen, L. L.; Turco, S. J. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2003, 60, 259–266.
- (10) Eppe, G.; El Bkassiny, S.; Vincent, S. P. In *Carbohydrates in Drug Design and Discovery*; The Royal Society of Chemistry: London, 2015; pp 209–241.
- (11) Chiodo, F.; Marradi, M.; Park, J.; Ram, A. F. J.; Penadés, S.; van Die, I.; Tefsen, B. ACS Chem. Biol. **2014**, *9*, 383–389.
- (12) Completo, G. C.; Lowary, T. L. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 4513–4525.
- (13) Beláňová, M.; Dianišková, P.; Brennan, P. J.; Completo, G. C.; Rose, N. L.; Lowary, T. L.; Mikušová, K. *J. Bacteriol.* **2008**, *190*, 1141–1145.
- (14) Cattiaux, L.; Sendid, B.; Collot, M.; Machez, E.; Poulain, D.; Mallet, J.-M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *19*, 547–555.
- (15) Imamura, A.; Lowary, T. Trends Glycosci. Glycotechnol. 2011, 23, 134–152.
- (16) Kashiwagi, G. A.; Mendoza, V. M.; de Lederkremer, R. M.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 6322–6332.
- (17) Mendoza, V. M.; Kashiwagi, G. A.; de Lederkremer, R. M.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C. Carbohydr. Res. 2010, 345, 385–396.
- (18) (a) Krylov, V. B.; Argunov, D. A.; Vinnitskiy, D. Z.; Verkhnyatskaya, S. A.; Gerbst, A. G.; Ustyuzhanina, N. E.; Dmitrenok, A. S.; Huebner, J.; Holst, O.; Siebert, H.-C.; Nifantiev, N. E. Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 16516–16522. (b) Argunov, D. A.; Krylov, V. B.; Nifantiev, N. E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 3255–
- (19) Gola, G.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 3368-3382.
- (20) Gandolfi-Donadio, L.; Santos, M.; de Lederkremer, R. M.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 2085–2097.
- (21) Pathak, A. K.; Pathak, V.; Seitz, L.; Maddry, J. A.; Gurcha, S. S.; Besra, G. S.; Suling, W. J.; Reynolds, R. C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2001**, *9*, 3129–3143.
- (22) Hanashima, S.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Ito, Y.; Sato, K.-i. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 6150–6153.
- (23) Zhu, S.-Y.; Yang, J.-S. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 3795-3802.
- (24) Deng, L.-M.; Liu, X.; Liang, X.-Y.; Yang, J.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 3025–3037.

- (25) Wang, S.; Meng, X.; Huang, W.; Yang, J.-S. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 10203–10217.
- (26) Gao, P.-C.; Zhu, S.-Y.; Cao, H.; Yang, J.-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138, 1684–1688.
- (27) Zhu, K.; Yang, J.-S. Tetrahedron 2016, 72, 3113-3123.
- (28) Tilve, M. J.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C. Carbohydr. Res. **2014**, 397, 7–17.
- (29) Tilve, M. J.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C. Carbohydr. Res. 2011, 346, 2838–2848.
- (30) Zhu, X.; Kawatkar, S.; Rao, Y.; Boons, G.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11948-11957.
- (31) Crich, D.; Pedersen, C. M.; Bowers, A. A.; Wink, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1553–1565.
- (32) Wang, Y.; Maguire-Boyle, S.; Dere, R. T.; Zhu, X. Carbohydr. Res. 2008, 343, 3100-3106.
- (33) Kaeothip, S.; Boons, G.-J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 5136—5146.
- (34) Rao, Y.; Boons, G.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6148-
- (35) Joe, M.; Bai, Y.; Nacario, R. C.; Lowary, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9885–9901.
- (36) Trost, B. M.; Caldwell, C. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4999–
- (37) (a) Imamura, A.; Ando, H.; Korogi, S.; Tanabe, G.; Muraoka, O.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 6725–6728.
 (b) Imamura, A.; Ando, H.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M. *Org. Lett.* 2005, 7, 4415–4418.
 (c) Imamura, A.; Kimura, A.; Ando, H.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, 12, 8862–8870.
 (d) Heuckendorff, M.;
- Bendix, J.; Pedersen, C. M.; Bols, M. Org. Lett. **2014**, 16, 1116–1119. (38) Liang, X.-Y.; Bin, H.-C.; Yang, J.-S. Org. Lett. **2013**, 15, 2834–2837.
- (39) Crouch, D. R. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 5833-5871.
- (40) Hsieh, H.-W.; Schombs, M. W.; Witschi, M. A.; Gervay-Hague, J. J. Org. Chem. **2013**, 78, 9677–9688.
- (41) Crouch, R. D. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 2383-2417.
- (42) Tanino, K.; Shimizu, T.; Kuwahara, M.; Kuwajima, I. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2422–2423.
- (43) Yu, M.; Pagenkopf, B. L. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4553-4558.
- (44) Furusawa, K.; Sakai, T.; Tsuda, K. *Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho* **1988**, 913.
- (45) Ohtawa, M.; Tomoda, H.; Nagamitsu, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2014, 87, 113-118.
- (46) Chando, K. M.; Bailey, P. A.; Abramite, J. A.; Sammakia, T. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5196–5199.
- (47) The thiophenyl analogue of 5 was synthesized in 7 reaction steps (9%) from phenyl 1-thio- β -D-galactofuranoside, which was obtained from D-galactose in three extra steps (29% yield, 2.6% for the all route).
- (48) Gola, G.; Liebenson, P.; Gandolfi-Donadio, L.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C. *Arkivoc* **2005**, *XII*, 234–242.
- (49) Gola, G.; Tilve, M. J.; Gallo-Rodriguez, C. Carbohydr. Res. 2011, 346, 1495–1502.
- (50) Furusawa, K. Chem. Lett. 1989, 18, 509-510.
- (51) Marino, C.; Miletti, L.; Manso Alves, M. J.; Colli, W.; de Lederkremer, R. M. *Glycobiology* **1998**, *8*, 901–904.
- (52) Veeneman, G. H.; Notermans, S.; Liskamp, R. M. J.; van der Marel, G. A.; van Boom, J. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 6695–6698.
- (53) Veeneman, G. H.; van Boom, J. H.; Notermans, S.; Hoogerhout, P. Recueil des Travaux Chimiques des Pays-Bas 1989, 108, 344–350.
- (54) Veeneman, G. H.; Hoogerhout, P.; Westerduin, P.; van Boom, J. H.; Notermans, S. Recueil des Travaux Chimiques des Pays-Bas 1987, 106, 129–131.
- (55) Crich, D.; Cai, F.; Yang, F. Carbohydr. Res. 2008, 343, 1858-
- (56) Peng, P.; Geng, Y.; Göttker-Schnetmann, I.; Schmidt, R. R. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1421–1424.
- (57) Peng, P.; Liu, H.; Gong, J.; Nicholls, J. M.; Li, X. Chemical Science 2014, 5, 3634–3639.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

- (58) Boltje, T. J.; Zhong, W.; Park, J.; Wolfert, M. A.; Chen, W.; Boons, G.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14255–14262.
- (59) Christina, A. E.; Muns, J. A.; Olivier, J. Q. A.; Visser, L.; Hagen, B.; van den Bos, L. J.; Overkleeft, H. S.; Codée, J. D. C.; van der Marel, G. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2012**, 2012, 5729–5737.
- (60) Veerapen, N.; Leadbetter, E. A.; Brenner, M. B.; Cox, L. R.; Besra, G. S. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2010**, 21, 741–747.
- (61) (a) Matsuo, I.; Wada, M.; Manabe, S.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Otake, K.; Kato, K.; Ito, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 3402–3403. (b) Smith, A. B.; Chen, S. S.-Y.; Nelson, F. C.; Reichert, J. M.; Salvatore, B. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 12013–12014.